DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

02-278



Federal Communications Commission Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Washington, D.C. 20554



SEP 11 2003

Control No. 0302487/kah-Pol

Mr. Warren T. Johnson Henninger, Johnson and Layton P.O. Box 835 Tuscumbia, AL 35674 SIP 2 9 2003

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for your letter to Senator Richard Shelby regarding the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) recent amendment to the rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). Specifically, you express concern that, "without the proper input from the business and association community," the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an "established business relationship" constitutes the necessary express permission to send an unsolicited facsimile advertisement. You indicate that requiring such express permission to be in writing will place onerous burdens on associations that wish to fax their members.

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CG Docket No. 02-278, seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how. The NPRM sought comment on the option to establish a national do-not-call list, and how such action might be taken in conjunction with the national do-not-call registry rules adopted by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the numerous state do-not-call lists. In addition, the Commission sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax. The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules.

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA. As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive. Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times, including in the middle of the night.

No of Cooles rec'd 2.
List ABCDE

-

I.

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising. Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before transmitting any faxes to them. The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules were initially scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. Enclosed is a copy of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

We appreciate your comments. We have placed a copy of your correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions.

Sincerely,

The K. Dane Snowden

Chief

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Enclosures

cc: The Honorable Richard Shelby

T - 1

RICH ARD SHELBY

CHAIRMAN—COMMITTEE ON BANKING HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS CHAIRMAN—SUBLOMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING

> 110 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON DC 20510-0103 (2021 224-5744 http://shelby.senate.gov E-mail-senator-a-shelby.senate.gov

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0103

August 13, 2003

Ms. Sheryl J. Wilkerson Office of Legislative Affairs Federal Communications Commission Room 8-C453 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Wilkerson:

Enclosed, please find a copy of correspondence I received from Warren T. Johnson.

Please review the enclosed and address the concerns raised. I have notified my constituent to expect a timely reply directly from you.

Sincerely,

Richard Shelby

RCS/sfm Enclosure

21 AUG 2003 RCVD

STATE OFFICES

1800 Fr. Ar. N 321 Federal Br. Dr. Bihminghar, AL 35203 (205) 731-1384

HONTSCILLE INT. AIRPOR 1000 GLEND HEARN BOX FC. BOX 20127 HUNTSCILLS AL 35824 (256) 772–0460

113 \$1 JOSEPH STREET
445 U.S. COURTHOUSE
MOBILE AL 36602
(251) 694-4164

ONE CHURCH STREET ROOM C-561 MONTGOMEN AL 36104 (334) 223-7303

1118 GREENSBORO AVENUE #240 TUSCALOOSA AL 35401 (205) 759-5047

RICHARD SHELBY

CHAIRMAN — COMMITTEE ON BANKING HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS CHAIRMAN — SUBCOMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING

> 110 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON DC 20510-0103 (202) 224-5744 http://shelby.senate.gov E.mail_senator « shelby senate.gov

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0103

August 13, 2003

Mr. Warren T. Johnson Henninger, Johnson and Layton Post Office Box 835 Tuscumbia, Alabama 35674 STATE OFFICES

1800 FIF HACES - N 321 FEDERAL BL. IN BIRM NOT C. AL 35201 (205) 731-1384

HUNTSCIELL IN A P. M. 1000 GLENN HEARN BOL E AN BOX 20127 HUNTSCIELE AL 35824 1256: 772-0460

113 ST JOSEPH STREE 445 U.S. COURTHCUS! MOBILE AL 36602 (251) 694-4164

ONE CHURCH STREET ROOM C-561 MONTGOMERY AL 36104 (334) 223-7303

1118 GREENSBOPC AVENUE #240 Tuschioosa AL 35401 (205) 759-5047

Dear Warren:

Thank you for taking the time to contact me regarding your concerns.

I have contacted the FCC on your behalf and will be in contact with you when I receive a response. Please do not hesitate to contact me about this or other matters in the future.

Sincerely,

Richard Shelby

RCS/sfm

Henninger, Johnson & Layton Insurance Agency, Inc. P. O. Rox 835 Tuscumbia, Alabama 35674 256-383-3400/Fax 256-383-5335

RECEIVED ANG C? ~~,

August 7, 2003

Honorable Richard Shelby United States Senate 110 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-0103

Dear Senator Shelby.

I am writing to alert you to the recent actions taken by the FCC to amend the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). The FCC has decided, without proper input from the business and association communities, to modify the current law by doing away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to fax advertisements. This amendment will place onerous administrative and economic burdens by requiring "expressed written consent" from their own customers or members prior to sending a fax advertisement. I hope you share in my concern over this onerous restriction of legitimate commercial activity

The new FCC reading of the TCPA prohibits any person or entity from sending any fax that contains an unsolicited advertisement which is defined as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, good, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission." As a result, the established business relationship is no longer sufficient to permit faxes to be transmitted. Associations and businesses are now faced with the challenging administrative, legal, economic and record keeping ramifications that will arise thanks to the new FCC changes.

The proposed changes, which are scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003 - 30 days after they were published in the Federal Register on July 25, 2003, will create a significant economic and labor-intensive burden for the association and business communities. The adjustment in the TCPA will require signed written consent to allow faxes to be sent that contain unsolicited advertisements. It would even require written consent for faxes pertaining to events such as annual meetings.

While these changes may be suitable for residential telephone numbers as the new Do Not Call registry provides, they are certainly not acceptable for agent-to-client and association-to-member facsimile communications. Many businesses and associations rely on faxes as a prime source of communication and marketing to meet the needs of their members.

With penaltics reaching \$11,000 per unauthorized fax, few associations or small businesses can financially endure such a penalty. The proposed FCC changes are a prime example of an idea where the unintended consequences and disadvantages far outweigh the benefits. Please join me in requesting the FCC halt efforts to shange the current TCPA